

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA  
(Palm Beach Division)**

**Case No. 9:19-CV-80633-ROSENBERG**

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

v.

NATURAL DIAMONDS INVESTMENT CO.,  
et al.,

Defendants,

H.S. MANAGEMENT GROUP LLC, et al.,

Relief Defendants.

---

**NOTICE OF FILING SIXTH QUARTERLY  
STATUS REPORT OF RECEIVER JEFFREY C. SCHNEIDER**

Pursuant to paragraphs 46 and 47 of Section XII of this Court's Order Granting Motion for Appointment of Receiver [DE 20], Jeffrey C. Schneider, not individually, but solely in his capacity as the Court-appointed Receiver for Natural Diamonds Investment Co., Eagle Financial Diamond Group, Inc., and Argyle Coin, LLC, hereby files his Sixth Quarterly Status Report for the quarter ending September 30, 2020.

Dated: October 30, 2020

Jeffrey C. Schneider, P.A.  
*Court-Appointed Receiver*  
201 South Biscayne Blvd.  
Citigroup Center, 22<sup>nd</sup> Floor  
Miami, Florida 33131  
Telephone: 305.403.8788  
Facsimile: 305.403.8789  
Email: [jcs@klsg.com](mailto:jcs@klsg.com)

By: /s/ Jeffrey C. Schneider  
JEFFREY C. SCHNEIDER, P.A.

**Case No. 9:19-CV-80633-ROSENBERG**

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on October 30, 2020, I electronically filed the foregoing document with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF. I also certify that the foregoing document is being served this day on all counsel of record or pro se parties identified on the attached Service List in the manner specified, either via transmission of Notices of Electronic Filing generated by CM/ECF or in some other authorized manner for those counsel or parties who are not authorized to receive electronically Notices of Electronic Filing.

By: /s/ Jeffrey C. Schneider  
JEFFREY C. SCHNEIDER, P.A.

Case No. 9:19-CV-80633-ROSENBERG

**SERVICE LIST**

*Counsel for Securities and Exchange Commission:*

Amie Riggle Berlin  
Senior Trial Counsel  
Securities and Exchange Commission  
801 Brickell Avenue, Suite 1800  
Miami, Florida 33131  
Email: [berlina@sec.gov](mailto:berlina@sec.gov)

*Counsel for Harold Seigel, Jonathan Seigel, and H.S. Management Group LLC:*

Ellen M. Kaplan, Esq.  
Law Office of Ellen M. Kaplan P.A.  
9900 W Sample Rd Fl 3  
Coral Springs, Florida 33065  
Email: [ellenkaplanesq@aol.com](mailto:ellenkaplanesq@aol.com)

*Counsel for Gold 7 of Miami, LLC:*

Aaron Resnick, Esq.  
Law Offices of Aaron Resnick, P.A.  
100 Biscayne Boulevard, Suite 1607  
Miami, Florida 33132  
E-mail: [aresnick@thefirmmiami.com](mailto:aresnick@thefirmmiami.com)

*Counsel for Winners Church, Frederick Shipman, and Whitney Shipman:*

Carl Schoeppl, Esq.  
Terry A.C. Gray, Esq.  
Schoeppl Law, P.A.  
4651 North Federal Highway  
Boca Raton, Florida 33431  
Email: [carl@schoeppllaw.com](mailto:carl@schoeppllaw.com)  
[tgray@schoeppllaw.com](mailto:tgray@schoeppllaw.com)

**Via Email**

Jose Aman, *pro se*  
E-mail: [joseaman123@gmail.com](mailto:joseaman123@gmail.com)

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA  
(Palm Beach Division)**

**Case No. 9:19-CV-80633-ROSENBERG**

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

v.

NATURAL DIAMONDS INVESTMENT CO.,  
et al.,

Defendants,

H.S. MANAGEMENT GROUP LLC, et al.,

Relief Defendants.

---

**SIXTH QUARTERLY STATUS REPORT OF RECEIVER JEFFREY C. SCHNEIDER**

Pursuant to paragraphs 46 and 47 of Section XII of the Order Granting Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission’s Motion for Appointment of Receiver [DE 20] (the “Appointment Order”), Jeffrey C. Schneider, not individually, but solely in his capacity as the Court-appointed Receiver (the “Receiver”) for Natural Diamonds Investment Co. (“NDIC”), Eagle Financial Diamond Group, Inc. (“EFDG”), and Argyle Coin, LLC (“Argyle”) (collectively, the “Receivership Entities”), submits his Sixth Quarterly Status Report for the quarter ending September 30, 2020.

**INTRODUCTION**

On May 16, 2019, this Court appointed me as Receiver for Argyle. On March 28, 2019, approximately two months before my appointment as Receiver in this case, the Honorable Donald Middlebrooks appointed me as Corporate Monitor for NDIC and EFDG in *Rounds v. Natural Diamonds Investment Co., et al.*, Case No. 18-cv-81151 (the “Corporate Monitor Action”). I then

**Case No. 9:19-CV-80633-ROSENBERG**

filed a motion requesting that this receivership be expanded to include NDIC and EFDG in order to avoid two separate proceedings and reduce expenses for the benefit of the victims of the fraud [DE 97]. On July 11, 2019, this Court granted that request and expanded the receivership to include NDIC and EFDG [DE 104]. I then moved to close the Corporate Monitor Action, so NDIC and EFDG are now part of this receivership, and the Corporate Monitor Action has been closed.

As a reminder, pursuant to paragraph 46 of the Appointment Order, I am required to file Quarterly Status Reports within 30 days of the end of each calendar quarter. The last quarter ended on September 30, 2020, so I am filing this Report by the October 30<sup>th</sup> deadline.

Pursuant to paragraph 47 of the Appointment Order, the topics herein include:

- A. A summary of my operations;
- B. The amount of cash on hand, the amount and nature of accrued administrative expenses, and the amount of unencumbered funds in the estate;
- C. A schedule of all the receipts and disbursements (attached as Exhibit A to the Quarterly Status Report) of the receivership, with one column for the quarterly period covered and a second column for the entire duration of the receivership;
- D. A description of all known Receivership Property, including approximate or actual valuations, anticipated or proposed dispositions, and reasons for retaining assets where no disposition is intended;
- E. A description of liquidated and unliquidated claims held by the Receivership Estate, including the need for forensic and/or investigatory resources; approximate valuations of claims; and anticipated or proposed methods of enforcing such claims (including likelihood of success in: (i) reducing the claims to judgment; and, (ii) collecting such judgments);
- F. A list of all known creditors with their addresses and the amounts of their claims;
- G. The status of Creditor Claims Proceedings, after such proceedings have been commenced; and,

**Case No. 9:19-CV-80633-ROSENBERG**

H. My recommendations for a continuation or discontinuation of the receivership and the reason for the recommendations.

I will continue to address these topics one-by-one below.

**A. A Summary of the Operations of the Receiver**

**1. Procedural Background in This Proceeding**

On May 13, 2019, the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”) filed an emergency action in this Court to enjoin NDIC, EFDG (a/k/a Diamante Atelier), and Argyle (again, the “Receivership Entities”), and their owners, Jose Aman, Harold Seigel and Jonathan Seigel. In the Complaint [DE 1], the SEC asserted various claims against the Receivership Entities, Aman, and the Seigels. Essentially, the SEC alleged that the Receivership Entities were a three-tiered Ponzi scheme that promised hundreds of investors unreasonably large investment returns that ranged from 24% to 100% every year to two years for signing investment contracts that were supposedly backed by diamonds worth tens of millions of dollars. The SEC alleged that the Receivership Entities raised approximately \$30 million from approximately 300 investors in Canada and the United States from late 2013 through the present.

The SEC also filed a Motion for Temporary Restraining Order, Asset Freeze, and Other Relief [DE 4] and a Motion for Appointment of Receiver [DE 7]. The SEC advised this Court that I had been appointed as Corporate Monitor for NDIC and EFDG in the Corporate Monitor Action, which was then also pending in the Southern District of Florida.

As a result of the SEC’s efforts, this Court granted the SEC’s requests and, among other things, appointed me as Receiver for Argyle. I thereafter assumed full control of Argyle to secure, conserve, hold, manage, and prevent the loss of its assets. Similarly, given the expansion of the receivership to include NDIC and EFDG, this brought NDIC and EFDG into the fold of this case, with concomitant obligations to secure and hold NDIC’s and EFDG’s assets.

**Case No. 9:19-CV-80633-ROSENBERG**

There have been asset freezes over Defendants Aman, Harold Seigel and Seigel entity H.S. Management Group LLC (a Seigel entity that received approximately \$4.4 million from the Receivership Entities, and thus a relief defendant) [DE 40]. In addition, there have been asset freezes over the other relief defendants, including approximately \$2 million frozen in accounts in the names of Relief Defendants Winners Church International Inc. of West Palm Beach Florida (“Winners Church”) and Fredrick and Whitney Shipman (collectively, the “Shipmans”) [DE 59], and dozens of diamonds and jewelry pieces held by Relief Defendant Gold 7 of Miami, LLC (“G7”) [DE 55]. As discussed below, the SEC settled its claims against Winners Church and the Shipmans, so the approximately \$2 million that was frozen has been deposited into the Court’s registry and will, upon Court approval, be transferred to me to distribute, again upon Court approval, to the victims. Also, as previously reported, a settlement was reached at mediation between the SEC, G7, and me. We finalized and filed the various G7 settlement documents [DE 251, DE 252]. The Court has approved the settlement by issuing the SEC’s requested Judgment against G7 [DE 257] and the Order [DE 259] approving the settlement agreement between me and G7 [DE 252-1]. Just yesterday (October 29<sup>th</sup>), I obtained possession of the 53 pieces from G7 pursuant to the settlements.

Since my appointment, my professionals and I have been working to effectuate my obligations under the Appointment Order. As discussed more fully below, this work has primarily involved identifying and securing assets of the Receivership Entities, as well as preserving the Receivership Entities’ books and records (hard copy and electronic).

Throughout this process, my professionals and I have continued to work cooperatively with the SEC and other agencies, with each side mindful of their respective responsibilities and duties. The coordination of my and the SEC’s efforts has resulted in many accomplishments for the benefit

**Case No. 9:19-CV-80633-ROSENBERG**

of the Receivership Estate and its victims, including the recent global settlement between the SEC, G7 and me, which is further discussed below.

**2. Communications with Aman and the Seigels**

I have continued to communicate with Aman's and Seigels' counsels regarding relevant receivership issues, including, among other things: (i) the present location of diamonds, including those owned by investors or clients of the Seigels (further discussed below); (ii) the location of investor funds; (iii) an explanation and accounting of what happened to the purported \$30-plus million that was raised from the investors; (iv) the list of all investors, with contact information and their investment history; and/or (v) the nature and explanation of the NDIC, EFDG, and Argyle businesses and investments.

As further discussed below in Section D.8, *infra*, Harold Seigel and HS did not comply with their Court-required obligations, thus necessitating the filing by me of a renewed motion to compel the Seigels to comply with their obligations under the Receivership Order. A hearing was held, and the magistrate judge directed them to respond to certain questions that I posed to them and to produce certain documents. They have appealed that order to the district judge. In the meantime, despite having their motion to stay denied, the Seigels have not complied with the Receivership Order or the Magistrate's order directing them to do so.

**3. The Business**

As previously reported, there is no operating business for the Receivership Entities. All company bank accounts were depleted and closed as of my appointment. The Receivership Entities' websites remain shut down. I have instructed Aman and the Seigels that they cannot continue the investments they previously did through the Receivership Entities.

As reported previously, I have also received hard copy files of dozens of boxes of documents and the corporate computer's CPU from the former business premises.

**Case No. 9:19-CV-80633-ROSENBERG**

**4. Subpoenas**

I previously reported the various subpoenas I served to third parties to discover information about assets and the use of investor funds. I have received the subpoena productions.

**5. Additional Demands**

As a reminder, I served several demand letters on third parties who received (or may have received) money or assets that are or may be subject to the Appointment Order and may be required to return those items. Most have responded at this juncture. For those that have not yet responded, I have consulted the SEC about possible courses of action, mindful that the expense of the undertaking has to be justified given the potential recovery.

**6. The Business Premises**

I previously reported that I inspected the former business premises located at 125 Worth Avenue, Suite 203, Palm Beach, Florida 33480; removed the remaining furniture, fixtures, and equipment within; secured the books and records within; signed a cancellation of lease and limited release with the landlord (in which the lease was formally cancelled and I was released of any obligation to continue to pay rent); and filed a motion to sell the furniture, fixtures, and equipment [DE 41, 42 in the Corporate Monitor Action].

There were not that many office items to sell. Unfortunately, they were not too valuable, according to the preliminary assessments by the auctioneer, who at first did not even want the items. I ultimately sold the various office items through my own efforts for a total amount of \$2,555.00 without having to incur any storage expenses. The sales proceeds were deposited in the EFDG/NDIC receivership account because the lease was in EFDG's name.

**7. Other Pending Lawsuits**

There have been many pending lawsuits against the Receivership Entities, the Seigels, and/or Aman. I continue to communicate with the lawyers in the known pending cases to inform

**Case No. 9:19-CV-80633-ROSENBERG**

them of the Appointment Order and to confirm that the various courts are observing the stay of the other lawsuits. Importantly, the Appointment Order in Section VII, paragraphs 27-29, prohibits anyone from suing the Receivership Entities or executing on their assets. All known pending claims against the Receivership Entities in the other lawsuits should be or remain stayed, although the claims against Aman and/or the Seigels in several lawsuits remain pending. I also continue to reserve all rights to bring the stay issue before this Court, if necessary. Several of the pending lawsuits have required action by me recently, as the courts have issued notices of lack of prosecution (and some have been dismissed for lack of prosecution during the applicable period), because the cases have been dormant because of the stay, so I have worked with investor counsel to confirm that the stay remains in place in those cases.

**8. Receivership Appraiser**

As previously reported, I retained as my receivership diamond/jewelry appraiser Jewelry by Frank Inc. Appraisal Service (principal Frank Graziano) (the “Appraiser” or “Jewelry by Frank”). The Appraiser is familiar with the diamonds in the receivership because Mr. Graziano previously appraised a large amount of the inventory of the diamonds of the Receivership Entities before my appointment. That prior experience and familiarity with the diamonds benefitted the Estate, including by saving expenses associated with appraising the many diamonds I secured. As also previously reported, the Appraiser has concluded three separate appraisals of: (i) the 104 pouches of rough colored diamonds that Aman turned over to me when this proceeding began and Aman’s fiancée’s engagement ring that Aman also turned over; (ii) the 38 pieces from the prior Carmelo De Stefano settlement; and (iii) the 53 diamonds/jewelry pieces held by G7 and subject to the recent Court-approved G7 settlement agreement, which are also further discussed below.

**Case No. 9:19-CV-80633-ROSENBERG**

**9. Receivership CPA**

Pursuant to para. 50 of Section XIII of the Appointment Order, I filed, and this Court promptly granted, a motion to employ the accountants at the accounting firm Kaufman & Company P.A. [DE 202, 210]. Dana M. Kaufman, J.D., CPA, CVA, CFE, who is the principal shareholder of the firm, will have primary responsibility for this matter. Mr. Kaufman's curriculum vitae (with a partial case list) was attached as Exhibit A to the motion to employ.

Mr. Kaufman is a longtime, respected certified public accountant and certified fraud examiner in South Florida. Mr. Kaufman is also a lawyer and has been appointed as a receiver several times in state and federal courts. Kaufman & Company P.A. specializes in, among other things, forensic accounting/litigation support in domestic relations, commercial litigation and bankruptcy and receivership matters; federal and state tax planning; federal and state tax return preparation; representation of clients before the IRS, Tax Court, and the various states' tax departments; and preparation of financial statements.

I needed to employ accountants because the Receivership Entities, through me as Receiver, need to prepare and file tax returns for certain prior tax years, tax year 2019, and future tax years. This is the sole reason for employing Kaufman & Company P.A. at this time.

**10. Marketing Plan**

I have had discussions with a local and well-respected auctioneer about planning for, and conducting, an auction for the pieces that I have secured. Because of the COVID-19 crisis, my plan is still to conduct the auction on the internet and to first market the auction through online internet advertising, telemarketing, e-marketing, social networking, and advance auction promotions in local and regional newspapers.

**Case No. 9:19-CV-80633-ROSENBERG**

I have waited to conduct the auction for two reasons. First, the ongoing pandemic has certainly impacted the sales price of the various pieces that I am holding. If a short delay results in significantly increased prices, it is worth it; if the delay becomes too long, then I will rethink it.

Second, during the applicable period, G7 and I signed a settlement agreement, after which I filed a Motion to Approve, which the Court promptly granted [DE 252, 259]. Regarding the G7 diamonds, and as previously reported, I have wanted to avoid incurring the expense of two auctions because there is a certain economies of scale that would be realized by marketing and auctioning all of the pieces at the same time, so I have waited to market the other diamonds until I receive the G7 settlement diamonds. I am happy to report that I received those diamonds yesterday (October 29<sup>th</sup>), and they are secured in the receivership safe deposit box.

**11. Aman Indictment**

Aman was arrested in September 2020. The Government charged Aman with a single criminal count of wire fraud in connection with the allegations in this case. Aman waived his right to prosecution by indictment, had a \$25,000 bond set, and has pled guilty. Aman's sentencing is scheduled for December 8, 2020. The case is *United States v. Aman*, Case No. 9:20-cr-80062, in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Florida.

**B. The Amount of Cash on Hand, the Amount and Nature of Accrued Administrative Expenses and the Amount of Unencumbered Funds in the Estate**

As of September 30, 2020, there was \$20,415.75 in the Argyle receivership bank account. The source of these funds was the remaining retainer funds held by two law firms that previously represented Argyle. I was previously unaware of these funds and learned about them through my own investigation during a prior quarterly period.

Specifically, Steptoe & Johnson LLP turned over \$11,558.50 and Lexcuity PC turned over \$8,987.50. As a reminder, there were no funds remaining in Argyle's bank accounts as of my

**Case No. 9:19-CV-80633-ROSENBERG**

appointment in May 2019 and there were no funds in the Argyle receivership account until the transfers to me by these two firms during a prior quarterly period.

The Argyle receivership account will be further funded in the future once I sell the 104 pouches of rough diamonds and the engagement ring, which this Court has authorized me to do [DE 168, 179]. In addition, and as discussed below, the Argyle receivership account will be further funded once I sell the 38 jewelry/diamonds acquired from my settlement with Mr. De Stefano [DE 197-198], which this Court has also authorized me to sell.<sup>1</sup> In addition, and as discussed below, the Argyle receivership account will be further funded, upon Court-approval, with the approximate \$2 million in settlement monies funded by Relief Defendants Winners Church/the Shipmans.

As previously reported, I established an NDIC and EFDG receivership bank account in early April 2019 to deposit and safeguard their funds in connection with the Corporate Monitor Action. As of September 30, 2020, there was \$48,500.70 in that account.

**C. A Schedule of All the Receiver's Receipts and Disbursements (Attached as Exhibit A to the Quarterly Status Report), with One Column for the Quarterly Period Covered and a Second Column for the Entire Duration of the Receivership**

Attached as **Exhibit A** is the required schedule of my receipts and disbursements during the subject quarterly period. The attached schedule reflects the balances delineated above for the Argyle and EFDG/NDIC receivership accounts, respectively.

---

<sup>1</sup> I previously filed, and the Court promptly granted, a motion for authorization to sell the 38 jewelry/diamonds from the De Stefano settlement using my discretion and on my proposed terms, similar to the 104 pouches of rough diamonds and the engagement ring [DE 200-201].

**Case No. 9:19-CV-80633-ROSENBERG**

**D. A Description of All Known Receivership Property, Including Approximate or Actual Valuations, Anticipated or Proposed Dispositions, and Reasons for Retaining Assets Where No Disposition Is Intended**

**1. The Funds Deposited into the Court's Registry**

As previously reported, the SEC settled with Winners Church and the Shipmans. The settlement was for approximately \$2 million, which is the total amount of money that the church and its principals received from Aman and/or the Receivership Entities. The settlement was a remarkable result by the SEC on the victims' behalves. The settlement was memorialized in various signed and filed Consents by Winners Church and the Shipmans [DE 148-1, 149-1, 150-1], which attached proposed Final Judgments for each relief defendant.

On December 11, 2019, this Court issued Final Judgments against Winners Church and the Shipmans [DE 164-166]. The Final Judgments required Winners Church and the Shipmans to deposit specified funds into the Court's registry within certain timeframes.

On December 20, 2019 (docketed on December 23, 2019), Winners Church and the Shipmans deposited various funds totaling \$1,444,221.58 into the Court's registry [DE 170-174]. On January 2, 2020 (docketed on January 3, 2020), Frederick Shipman deposited an additional \$229,372.06 [DE 177]. On July 16, 2020, Frederick Shipman deposited an additional \$287,799.07 [DE 222-223]. Thus, a total of at least \$1,961,392.71 has been deposited into the Court's registry.

Pursuant to the Final Judgments, I am permitted to file a motion for transfer of the deposited funds to me, as Receiver, for the benefit of the Receivership Estate and its victims. As such, I intend to file that motion as soon as the two predicates for filing that motion occur. First, the subject funds must be deposited into the Court's registry. As stated above, funds totaling at least \$1,961,392.71 have already been deposited into the Court's registry. Second, an order of disgorgement must be entered against either EFDG, NDIC, Argyle, or Aman. As of this Report, such has not occurred, but I anticipate that such disgorgement orders will be entered shortly.

**Case No. 9:19-CV-80633-ROSENBERG**

**2. The Diamonds**

Shortly after my appointment, I learned that several people and companies were holding diamonds owned by EFDG/NDIC and/or swept into the underlying EFDG/NDIC scheme. I discuss what I received, from whom, and the current the disposition of those assets below.

**a. Aman**

As previously reported, I obtained 104 pouches of uncut, unpolished colored diamonds from Aman shortly after I was appointed. The inventory of the 104 pouches of rough diamonds was attached as Exhibit B to my First Report. I also obtained Aman's fiancée's engagement ring. All of these items have been appraised, are located in my safe deposit box, and are approved for sale to third parties using my discretion and on my terms.

As stated above, I am in the process of marketing, selling, and monetizing for the victims' benefit those diamonds and will deposit all sales proceeds in the Argyle receivership account for their benefit. Given the worldwide pandemic of COVID-19, my efforts to market and sell these pieces (as well as additional pieces further discussed below) have been and will likely continue to be impaired for a period of time. However, as discussed above, I continue to have discussions with a well-respected local auctioneer to develop a marketing plan and a proposal for marketing, auctioning, and selling the pieces I am holding. I am hopeful to still successfully market and sell these pieces during these difficult times.

Although I have appraised these items, I cannot disclose the appraised values because doing such would likely lower any offers or bids I ultimately receive. However, I can state that the rough, uncut diamonds are not worth millions of dollars in their current state. The 104 pouches also do not contain the 19-carat rough green diamond that was the centerpiece of many EFDG investment contracts. That diamond was held by G7, was subject to this Court's asset freeze, and is subject to the Court-approved settlements between the SEC, G7, and me further discussed below.

**Case No. 9:19-CV-80633-ROSENBERG**

**b. Harold Seigel**

As previously reported, Harold Seigel was holding two cut yellow diamonds of 1.27 and 2.37 carats. Shortly after my appointment as Corporate Monitor, I learned that these two diamonds had been provided by Aman to Seigel's counsel (in the Corporate Monitor Action) as potential security for the payment of legal bills. The transaction was documented through a memorandum of the d/b/a for EFDG, Diamante Atelier. The diamonds had been returned by Seigel's counsel to Seigel through Aman's sister almost immediately before EFDG's/NDIC's decision to stipulate to my appointment as Corporate Monitor in the Corporate Monitor Action. I was not initially advised by the Seigels or their then counsel of these diamonds or this transfer (which, again, immediately preceded my appointment). When I learned about it, given these circumstances, I demanded that the two diamonds be turned over to me. The next day, Mr. Seigel delivered the two diamonds to me, which bear GIA numbers 2105851842 and 17207398. The two diamonds are located in my safe deposit box and have been inventoried. The inventory was previously attached as Exhibit D to my First Report.

During my investigation, I learned that these two diamonds are actually owned by an individual and are not owned by the Receivership Entities, nor were they invested with any of the Receivership Entities. The individual who owns these two diamonds has provided to me satisfactory proof of ownership. I intend to file a motion in the near future for an Order determining the ownership of these two diamonds and others (discussed below).<sup>2</sup>

**c. Investors**

Certain investors had been holding diamonds and/or jewelry owned by EFDG/NDIC. Aman gave such items to certain investors to hold as a sign of good faith for making future

---

<sup>2</sup> For privacy purposes, I will not be disclosing the identity of the relevant individuals.

**Case No. 9:19-CV-80633-ROSENBERG**

payments under the investment contracts. I sent the investors a demand letter requesting immediate turnover of the diamonds or jewelry to me. One investor returned the diamonds/jewelry; however, others ignored my demand or informed me that they have already sold the pieces prior to my appointment. The acknowledgement of receipt/list of investor-returned diamonds was previously attached as Exhibit E to my First Report.

These diamonds are also located in my safe deposit box, have been inventoried, and will be appraised and sold through a Court-approved motion for the benefit of the Receivership Estate and its victims.

If an investor ultimately refuses to return any diamonds/jewelry to me, I will evaluate filing a turnover motion or lawsuit. In addition to a turnover motion or lawsuit, I may recommend denying their potential claim in the anticipated future claims procedure. At this time, I am continuing to not name these investors, as I do not want to disclose potential targets.

I anticipate that I will continue to learn new names of investors who received and/or are holding diamonds/jewelry as this proceeding continues. I also previously demanded that Aman and the Seigels provide me with a full written accounting of all investors who previously received and/or are holding diamonds/jewelry so I can send them a demand for their immediate turnover.

**d. Vendors**

Like investors, vendors such as the landlord for the Receivership Entities' business premises, 125 Worth Partners LLC, had been holding diamonds owned by EFDG/NDIC. My understanding is that, just like certain investors, Aman gave diamonds to the landlord to hold as a sign of good faith for making future rent payments. I sent the landlord a series of demand emails requesting immediate turnover to me. The landlord complied and turned over five diamonds to me. The diamonds are located in my safe deposit box and have been inventoried. The acknowledgement of receipt/list was attached as Exhibit F to my First Report.

**Case No. 9:19-CV-80633-ROSENBERG**

During my investigation, I learned that one of the landlord diamonds is actually owned by an individual and is not owned by the Receivership Entities, nor was it invested with any of the Receivership Entities. The subject diamond bears GIA number 2135394395. The individual who owns the diamond has also provided to me satisfactory proof of ownership. Again, I intend to file a motion in the near future for an Order determining the ownership of this specific diamond.

There are additional vendors that had diamonds from EFDG/NDIC. For example, one of the companies that sold rough diamonds to EFDG/NDIC is the American Institute of Diamond Cutting, Inc. In addition, there were other transactions with that company, including consignments of diamonds and jewelry. I sent a demand letter to the American Institute of Diamond Cutting, Inc. (“AIDC”), which responded that it had three diamonds to turn over. AIDC complied and turned over the three diamonds to me. These diamonds are located in my safe deposit box and have been inventoried. The acknowledgement of receipt/list was attached as Exhibit G to my First Report.

I have identified two individuals who own the three AIDC diamonds, which bear GIA numbers 14933242, 15114587, and 2155112349. One individual has provided satisfactory proof of ownership of two of the three diamonds, and I have independently confirmed the interest of the other person in the other diamond. As stated above, I will soon be filing a motion to determine the ownership of these specific diamonds.

**e. Non-Party Carmelo De Stefano**

I learned that non-party Carmelo De Stefano likely had many diamonds or pieces of jewelry that derived from the Receivership Entities. Mr. De Stefano was Aman’s friend, was an Argyle employee, and was a business partner with Aman involving a Diamante Atelier business venture.

I sent Mr. De Stefano a demand letter, negotiated, and ultimately obtained possession of 38 pieces of jewelry and diamonds from Mr. De Stefano pursuant to an Agreed Order [DE 73].

**Case No. 9:19-CV-80633-ROSENBERG**

The diamonds are located in my safe deposit box and have been inventoried. The acknowledgement of receipt/list was previously attached as Exhibit H to my First Report.

On September 27, 2019 and October 23, 2019, I met with Mr. De Stefano and his counsel to discuss the 38 jewelry/diamonds he previously turned over and other relevant issues. Mr. De Stefano has been cooperative. During the quarterly period of October-December 2019, Mr. De Stefano and I came to an agreement in principle regarding a settlement of the jewelry/diamonds. I filed a motion to approve the settlement agreement, which this Court promptly granted [DE 197-198]. As a result of the settlement, Mr. De Stefano relinquished any interest in the 38 diamonds/jewelry. During a prior period, I filed a motion to sell, which this Court promptly granted, the subject 38 diamonds/jewelry for the benefit of the victims [DE 201-202]. I also appraised the diamonds/jewelry with the Appraiser, which were collectively appraised for more than \$500,000.<sup>3</sup>

Like the 104 pouches of rough diamonds and engagement ring, I am currently marketing and attempting to sell for the victims' benefit the 38 diamonds/jewelry diamonds, the sales proceeds of which will be deposited in the Argyle receivership account. Again, given COVID-19, my efforts to market and sell these pieces have been and will likely continue to be impaired for a period of time. However, as stated above, my engaged local auctioneer has developed a marketing plan and a proposal for marketing, auctioning, or otherwise selling the 38 diamonds/jewelry diamonds. Therefore, I am hopeful to still successfully market and sell these pieces during these difficult times.

---

<sup>3</sup> The total minimum appraisal range was previously disclosed because the matter involved a proposed settlement that the Court had to approve, so the settlement's consideration was required.

**Case No. 9:19-CV-80633-ROSENBERG**

**f. Local Diamond Stores**

Shortly after my appointment as Corporate Monitor, Aman disclosed to me that there were two local jewelry stores that had recently received valuable diamonds owned by EFDG/NDIC.

**i. G7**

The first store was G7, which is located near the Seybold Building in Downtown Miami. G7 is a Relief Defendant in this case. I re-incorporate the previously-reported information regarding G7 from my prior reports to eliminate continued redundancy.

Mediation between the SEC, G7, and me occurred on March 3, 2020.<sup>4</sup> I was part of the mediation because G7 informed me that it would seek a release from me/the Receivership Entities for purposes of a potential global settlement with the SEC. The matter settled in principle, and during the applicable quarter, global settlement documents were finalized, signed, filed and Court-approved, including (i) a consent from G7 [DE 251-1] and final judgment against it [DE 257]; and (ii) a motion to approve settlement agreement between G7 and me [DE 252], settlement agreement between G7 and me [DE 252-1], and Order granting [DE 259]. The specific settlement terms include, but are not limited to, the following:

- a. Upon the Court's approval of the settlement agreement, I shall begin to sell the 53 items of diamonds/jewelry (the "53 Diamonds/Jewelry");
- b. Upon the Court's approval of the settlement agreement, I shall be deemed the legal, lawful, and appropriate owner of the 53 Diamonds/Jewelry and shall have the unqualified right to sell the 53 Diamonds/Jewelry;
- c. The proceeds of sales of the 53 Diamonds/Jewelry shall be deposited with me in the Receivership Estate's account and then distributed as follows: (i) G7 shall receive the first \$250,000.00; and (ii) G7 and the Receivership Estate shall split the remaining proceeds of sales, 50/50, until G7 receives no more than \$350,000.00 (including the initial \$250,000) in total. All remaining sales proceeds shall belong to and remain with the Receivership Estate;

---

<sup>4</sup> The Seigels did not attend mediation because the Court excused them from attending based on, in part, their representations that they could not afford it [DE 189-192].

**Case No. 9:19-CV-80633-ROSENBERG**

- d. I shall use my best efforts to sell the 53 Diamonds/Jewelry promptly and for a good price. However, I shall have the sole authority to sell the 53 Diamonds/Jewelry in my sole discretion regarding pricing, the manner of sale, and whether to cut any of the pieces that are rough diamonds;
- e. G7 shall have the right to file a motion if it believes that I am selling the 53 Diamonds/Jewelry too slowly or too cheaply;
- f. Upon transfer of the \$350,000.00 to G7, G7 shall not have the right to submit, and therefore waives submitting, a future claim form in the receivership for any alleged damages it may have suffered as a result of Aman and/or the Receivership Entities;
- g. Certain investors or nonparties have claimed to me that their diamonds are among the 53 Diamonds/Jewelry. I shall address that issue by separate Motion. If necessary, such pieces shall be removed from the universe of pieces to be sold—while that issue is being addressed by the Court—so as to not delay liquidating the remaining pieces; and
- h. I make no representations or warranties on the impact of any potential filings by investors or nonparties regarding the Agreement.

As stated above, I received the G7 diamonds just yesterday (October 29<sup>th</sup>), and they are secured in the receivership safe deposit box. In order to ensure the transport of these pieces was safe given the large combined value of the pieces, I retained an armed security firm headed by a former federal agent, whose agents accompanied me and my counsel to G7 to take physical delivery of the 53 pieces and to safely transport the pieces to the location where I have a receivership safe deposit box. G7 was very cooperative and helpful and the process was efficient and uneventful.

On another note, since this proceeding began, I have learned that eight of the 53 pieces are actually owned by five individuals. Four of these five individuals have contacted me and have satisfactorily provided documentary proof of their ownership of their specific pieces. One individual has not contacted me, but I have independently confirmed this person's interest in one

**Case No. 9:19-CV-80633-ROSENBERG**

of the pieces.<sup>5</sup> As stated above, I will be filing a motion to determine the ownership of these specific diamonds.

**ii. Provident Jewelry**

Like G7, Provident Jewelry, namely the 331 Clematis Street store, received some diamonds in a similar fashion. I (as Corporate Monitor) sent an immediate demand to maintain the status quo of the various diamonds and jewelry pieces. Provident Jewelry ignored my initial demand email as well as my follow-up letter. Therefore, I will be evaluating the necessary actions against that company.

**3. The Horses**

As previously reported, I seized four jumping horses in connection with the Corporate Monitor Action and before the filing of this action whose care and management were funded by primarily EFDG (and thus investors) before my appointment. I relocated the horses to a new facility, continued to care for them through the EFDG/NDIC bank account, marketed them for sale, and ultimately signed three purchase agreements to sell all four horses for a total of \$175,500, the funds of which I have received and deposited in the EFDG/NDIC account (that account funded the care, maintenance, and appraisals for the horses). I filed two motions to approve the horses' sales (one motion when two purchase agreements were signed, and one motion in a self-executing manner before the third and final purchase agreement (for the two remaining horses) was signed), which this Court promptly granted [DE 105-106, 135, 138, and 141].

**4. Argyle's Technology**

I provided notice of the Appointment Order to certain vendors including Ideofuzion, a Pakistani company and the developer of Argyle's cryptocurrency technology, blockchain, and

---

<sup>5</sup> One person owns four diamonds, and four people own the other four diamonds.

**Case No. 9:19-CV-80633-ROSENBERG**

code. I will be investigating and evaluating whether Argyle's cryptocurrency technology has any value, and if so, how much and how best to sell it.

**5. The Receivership Entities' Bank Accounts**

The Receivership Entities maintained bank accounts at Bank of America. Again, there were no funds in the Receivership Entities' accounts as of my appointment.

I have performed a preliminary review of the bank records. Aman drained the corporate accounts to ensure there was a zero balance at the time of my appointment as Corporate Monitor and had spent many years living a very lavish multi-million-dollar lifestyle. It also appears that Aman transferred significant funds to others, including, but not limited to, the Seigels (through their company Relief Defendant HS) and Relief Defendant Winners Church and its pastor/bishop (Relief Defendants, the Shipmans).

In addition to Bank of America, I learned that the Receivership Entities may have had prior accounts at BB&T Bank and PNC Bank. Like Bank of America, I served BB&T and PNC Banks with the Corporate Monitor Appointment Order and instructed them to freeze the company accounts. Although there were no accounts or funds identified in the accounts at BB&T and/or PNC Banks, BB&T Bank froze \$1,350.51 in the name of related entity (Fancy Diamonds Private Investments LLC.) At my request, BB&T agreed to transfer the funds, which I received.

**6. The Office Items**

As previously reported, I removed the remaining office items/personalty from the former business premises and have sold the various office items for \$2,555.00.

In addition, there were 44 coins in one of the safes at the former business premises that I have secured. I intend to determine who owns the coins and ultimately whether they are subject to this proceeding. The coins are not part of any motion previously filed.

**Case No. 9:19-CV-80633-ROSENBERG**

**7. Diamonds Owned by Investors and Other Seigel Clients**

After my appointment as Receiver, I learned the names of several people, including investors and Seigel clients, who have asked me whether I have secured their diamonds. It appears that at least 14 identified diamonds owned by several investors or Seigel clients were held by G7, AIDC, EFDG's landlord, and Seigel. Those 14 diamonds are in my possession and, as stated above, I will be filing a motion in the near future to determine their ownership and disposition.

I have also communicated with several people who have represented to me that (i) they had purchased diamonds from the Seigels/their companies and (ii) thereafter the Seigels stored the diamonds in their safe, typically for purposes of a future auction or resale. Those investors/customers have asked me whether I have secured their diamonds. I have GIA reports of the cut/polished diamonds that I have secured and have the ability to check my inventory if the investor/customer provides the GIA number of his/her diamond. As state above, I have identified 14 diamonds that I have secured in that manner. For the diamonds that I do not have, I have asked the Seigels to account for the location of each diamond that is the subject of the investor/customer's inquiry, including previously and recently serving multiple rounds of formal written discovery on them. That process is ongoing, and I will continue to report on same in the future.

**8. The Colorado Property and Related Seigel Accounting Issues**

I am aware of the property in Colorado about which several investors have advised me. I will keep the victims updated regarding the ultimate disposition of this asset. As noted above, I have engaged in motion practice seeking the Court's assistance in compelling the Seigels to provide information about the monies received by the Seigels from the Receivership Entities and how they used them. On September 8, 2020, Magistrate Judge Reinhart held a hearing on my motion to compel. He ordered the Seigels to comply with their requirements under the Receivership Order and respond to my interrogatories and document requests ("my requests") as

**Case No. 9:19-CV-80633-ROSENBERG**

to the monies received from the Receivership Entities and inquiries about diamonds. [DE 244.] The Seigels have appealed that order to the district court, and the appeal has been fully briefed. Meanwhile, the appeal did not stay the requirement that the Seigels respond to my requests, and their motion to stay was denied. Despite this, they have not done so, and instead reiterated objections that were previously overruled. I will address this with the Court.

Meanwhile, the Seigels entered into consent judgments with the SEC. As such, final judgments were entered against H.S. Management Group [DE 254], Harold Seigel [DE 255] and Jonathan Seigel [DE 256].

**E. A Description of Liquidated and Unliquidated Claims Held by the Receivership Estate, Including the Need for Forensic and/or Investigatory Resources; Approximate Valuations of Claims; and Anticipated or Proposed Methods of Enforcing Such Claims (Including Likelihood of Success in: (i) Reducing the Claims to Judgment; and, (ii) Collecting Such Judgments)**

I have begun the process of investigating potential litigation targets who either improperly received transfers directly or indirectly from the Receivership Entities and/or damaged the Receivership Entities (and ultimately the investors) due to their actions and/or inactions. As previously reported, whatever claims that I ultimately bring will be with the hopes, and a probability, of winning and collecting. Also, whatever claims that I ultimately bring must be fully vetted with and ultimately approved by the SEC. I remain very mindful and sensitive of the issue of expenses and the necessity for efficiency and limiting expenses as much as possible in every aspect of this proceeding, including investigating potential ancillary lawsuits for additional recoveries to benefit the Receivership Estate. I intend to continue to make every decision with a cost-benefit analysis of the amount needed to bring and prosecute the claim versus the potential recovery.

Regarding the issue of forensic investigations, I have received many of the companies' bank records from Bank of America for the period of 2014 through 2019. As stated above, there

**Case No. 9:19-CV-80633-ROSENBERG**

were no funds in the accounts as of my appointment. The dissipation and transfers occurred over many years. There are literally thousands of transactions to reconstruct, including those that are legitimate and many that are undoubtedly illegitimate. The SEC has previously provided me with their forensic reconstruction regarding solely investor transactions.

**F. A List of All Known Creditors with Their Addresses and the Amounts of Their Claims**

It appears that the Receivership Entities had hundreds of investors. It also appears that the Receivership Entities raised at least \$30 million. I am still in the process of calculating the actual amount of money raised. The amount of the investors'/creditors' claims, as well as my recommended treatment of such, will be part of the anticipated future claims procedure (see section G, *infra*).

When the Corporate Monitor Action began, I (as Corporate Monitor) obtained investor lists from Aman. However, the lists do not include what each investor has been repaid and do not include all of the investors' names. I have been, and will continue to be, in constant contact with the investors. I will also be compiling my own investor list, including names and contact information, to ensure I know the universe of investors, the amounts they invested, and the amounts they received back, whether denominated as "interest" or "principal."

Regarding the receivership website ([naturaldiamondsreceivership.com](http://naturaldiamondsreceivership.com)), I continue to update it with court filings and investor letters. The receivership website also contains a registration form,<sup>6</sup> a "frequently asked questions" section to educate the investors about the receivership process, and an email address ([naturaldiamondsreceivership@lklsg.com](mailto:naturaldiamondsreceivership@lklsg.com)) and phone number (786.347.2563), so the investors may contact me directly. My staff, professionals, and I regularly communicate with investors.

---

<sup>6</sup> The registration form is a helpful tool for investors and creditors to send me their name, contact information, and an estimate of the amount of money they believe they are owed.

**Case No. 9:19-CV-80633-ROSENBERG**

**G. The Status of Creditor Claims Proceedings, after Such Proceedings Have Been Commenced**

I would like to file a claims procedure motion in the near future, so that the procedure is in place once the diamonds are monetized. I am still considering the manner or method of distribution, such as the net claim amount method. My intention remains to conclude the claims proceeding as soon as possible with as little claims litigation as possible and ultimately repay with available receivership funds all legitimate investor and creditor claims as soon as possible.

**H. The Receiver's Recommendations for a Continuation or Discontinuation of the Receivership and the Reason for the Recommendations**

It is still my recommendation that the Receivership should continue for the benefit of the many victimized investors, who are collectively owed tens of millions of dollars. The underlying investment scheme has all the ingredients of a massive Ponzi scheme affecting many victims in this Country and Canada.

My overall investigation will be ongoing for some period of time. I will supplement this Sixth Report with my Seventh Report at the conclusion of the next quarter.

Dated: October 30, 2020

/s/ Jeffrey C. Schneider  
Jeffrey C. Schneider, not individually,  
but solely in my capacity as Receiver

# EXHIBIT A

STANDARDIZED FUND ACCOUNTING REPORT FOR SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION vs. NATURAL DIAMONDS INVESTMENT CO., et al. CASE NO.: 19-CV-80633-ROSENBERG For the period July 1, 2020 through September 30, 2020				
Fund Accounting			Detail	Subtotal
Line 1			NDIC Checking (Acct 7414) (Corporate Monitor Account)	\$48,500.70
			SEC v. Argyle Checking (Acct 8373)	\$20,415.75
<b>TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS</b>				<b>\$68,916.45</b>
Line 2	Business Income			
<b>TOTAL INCOME</b>				<b>\$0.00</b>
Line 3	Cash and Securities			\$0.00
<b>TOTAL CHECKING</b>				<b>\$68,916.45</b>
Line 4	Interest/Dividend Income			\$0.00
<b>TOTAL INTEREST/ DIVIDEND INCOME</b>				
	Business Asset Liquidation			\$0.00
	Personal Asset Liquidation			\$0.00
Line 5	Third-Party Litigation Income			\$0.00
Line 6	Miscellaneous - Other			\$0.00
Line 7	<b>TOTAL FUNDS (Lines 1-7)</b>			<b>\$68,916.45</b>

	Decreases in Fund Balance:			
Line 8	RECEIVERSHIP EXPENSES			
		3/25/2020	Jewelry by Frank, Inc. Appraisal	\$5,475.00
		6/12/2020	Bank Service Fees (Safe Deposit Box Rental)	\$200.00
	<b>TOTAL BUSINESS EXPENSES (Line 8)</b>			<b>\$5,675.00</b>
	Disbursements to Investors			\$0.00
	Disbursements to Receivership Operations			\$0.00
Line 9				
Line 10b	Personal Asset Expenses			\$0.00
	Investment Expenses			\$0.00
	Third-Party Litigation Expenses			
Line 10c	1. Attorneys Fees			\$0.00
Line 10d	2. Litigation Expenses			\$0.00
Line 10e	Tax Administrator Fees and Bonds			\$0.00
Total Third-Party Litigation Expenses	Federal and State Tax Payments			\$0.00
Line 10f	<b>TOTAL DISBURSEMENTS FOR RECEIVERSHIP OPERATIONS</b>			<b>\$0.00</b>
Line 10g	Disbursements for Distribution Expenses Paid by the Fund:			\$0.00
	Distribution Plan Development Expenses			\$0.00
Line 11	Fees:			\$0.00

Line 11a	Fund Administrator			\$0.00
	Independent Distribution Consultant (IDC)			\$0.00
	Distribution Agent			\$0.00
	Consultants			\$0.00
	Legal Advisers			\$0.00
	Tax Advisers			\$0.00
	2. Administrative Expenses			\$0.00
	3. Miscellaneous			\$0.00
	Total Plan Development Expenses			\$0.00
	Distribution Plan Development Expenses			\$0.00
	1. Fees:			\$0.00
Line 11b	Fund Administrator			\$0.00
	Independent Distribution Consultant (IDC)			\$0.00
	Distribution Agent			\$0.00
	Consultants			\$0.00
	Legal Advisers			\$0.00
	Tax Advisers			\$0.00
	2. Administrative Expenses			\$0.00
	3. Investor Identification:			\$0.00
	Notice/Publishing Approved Plan			\$0.00
	Claimant Identification			\$0.00
	Claims Processing			\$0.00
	Web Site Maintenance			\$0.00
	4. Fund Administrator Bond			\$0.00
	5. Miscellaneous			\$0.00
	6. Federal Account for Investor Restitution (FAIR) Reporting Expenses			\$0.00
	Total Plan Implementation Expenses			\$0.00
	Total Disbursements for Distribution Expenses Paid by the Fund			\$0.00
	Disbursements to Court/Other			\$0.00
	Investment Expenses/Court Registry Investment System (CRIS) Fees			\$0.00
Line 12	Federal Tax Payments			\$0.00
Line 12a	Total Disbursements to Court/Other:			\$0.00
Line 12b	TOTAL FUNDS DISBURSED (Lines 8-11)			\$5,675.00
	Cash & Cash Equivalents			\$0.00
	Investments			\$0.00
Line 13	Other Assets or Uncleared Funds			\$0.00
Line 14	TOTAL ENDING BALANCE (AS OF 6/31/20)			\$63,241.45

Line 14a				
Line 14b	<i>Report of Items NOT to be Paid by the Fund:</i>			
Line 14c	<b>Disbursements for Plan Administration Expenses Not Paid by the Fund:</b>			\$0.00
	<i>Plan Development Expenses Not Paid by the Fund:</i>			\$0.00
<b>OTHER SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION:</b>	<b>1. Fees:</b>			\$0.00
	Fund Administrator			\$0.00
Line 15	IDC			\$0.00
Line 15a	Distribution Agent			\$0.00
	Consultants			\$0.00
	Legal Advisers			\$0.00
	Tax Advisers			\$0.00
	<b>2. Administrative Expenses</b>			\$0.00
	<b>3. Miscellaneous</b>			\$0.00
	<b>Total Plan Development Expenses Not Paid by the Fund</b>			\$0.00
	<i>Plan Implementation Expenses Not Paid by the Fund:</i>			\$0.00
	<b>1. Fees:</b>			\$0.00
	Fund Administrator			\$0.00
	IDC			\$0.00
Line 15b	Distribution Agent			\$0.00
	Consultants			\$0.00
	Legal Advisers			\$0.00
	<b>2. Administrative Expenses</b>			\$0.00
	<b>3. Investor Identification:</b>			\$0.00
	Notice/Publishing Approved Plan			\$0.00
	Claimant Identification			\$0.00
	Claims Processing			\$0.00
	Web Site Maintenance			\$0.00
	<b>4. Fund Administrator Bond</b>			\$0.00
	<b>5. Miscellaneous</b>			\$0.00
	<b>6. FAIR Reporting Expenses</b>			\$0.00
	<i>Tax Administrator Fees &amp; Bonds Not Paid by the Fund</i>			
	<b>Total Disbursements for Plan Administration Expenses Not Paid by the Fund</b>			\$0.00
	<b>Disbursements to Court/Other Not Paid by the Fund:</b>			\$0.00
Total Plan Implementation Expenses Not paid by the Fund	Investment Expenses/CRIS Fees			\$0.00
Line 15c	Federal Tax Payments			\$0.00
	<b>Total Disbursements to Court/Other Not Paid by the Fund:</b>			\$0.00
Line 16	DC & State Tax Payments			\$0.00
Line 16a	<b>No. of Claims:</b>			0
Line 16b	# of Claims Received this Reporting Period			
	# of Claims Received Since Inception of Fund			
Line 17	<b>No. of Claimants/Investors:</b>			
Line 18	# of Claimants/Investors Paid this Reporting Period	0		\$0.00
Line 18a	# of Claimants/Investors Paid Since Inception of Fund	0		\$0.00
Line 18b				
Line 19				
Line 19a				
Line 19b				
Received				
By:	Jeffrey Schneider, Court Appointed Receiver			
Printed Name	Jeffrey A. Schneider			
Date:	October 30, 2020			